

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/848,830		05/03/2001	Steven M. Zuniga	2834/303002	9467
26185	7590	05/01/2006		EXAM	INER
FISH & I		DSON P.C.		CULBERT, F	OBERTS P
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1763	
			DATE MAILED: 05/01/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s) ZUNIGA ET AL.	
	09/848,830		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Roberts Culbert	1763	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appriod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC .136(a). In no event, however, may a n d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tte, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. EANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
ratus			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19	<u>April 2006</u> .		
	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
sposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the applicatio	n.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected.		·	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
pplication Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.	•	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	cepted or b) objected to t	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documer			
2. Certified copies of the priority documer	·	· ·	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price		received in this National Stage	
application from the International Burea			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	is or the certified copies not i	receivea.	
tookmont(s)			
tachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗖 Intention S	ummary (PTO-413)	
Notice of Traffsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948))/Mail Date	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other: _____.

Art Unit: 1763

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

The declaration filed on 4/19/06 under 37 CFR 1.131 has been considered but is ineffective to overcome the Vote et al. reference due to the following defects.

- 1. The declaration has not been signed by all inventors, or an appropriate explanation has not been provided.
- 2. The declaration states "That on or before December 17, 2002, in the United States..." however, the date appears to be a typographical error as the filing date of the reference is Dec 17, 1997.
 - 3. The declaration has the wrong serial number 09/848,630 should be 09/848,830.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-3, 6-15 and 18-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 6,068,548 to Vote et al.

Regarding Claim 13, Vote et al. teach a retaining ring for a CMP carrier head having a mounting surface for a substrate comprising; a generally annular lower portion (402) having a bottom surface for contacting a polishing pad during polishing, the lower portion made of plastic; and a generally annular upper portion (406) having a bottom surface secured to the lower portion and a top surface configured to be mechanically affixed to and abut a rigid base of a carrier head, wherein the upper portion is made of a metal which is more rigid than the plastic. (Col. 3, Line 45 – Col. 4, Line 7)

Application/Control Number: 09/848,830

Art Unit: 1763

Regarding Claim 1, Vote et al. teach the well-known CMP apparatus features including a rigid base and a substrate-mounting surface that is vertically movable relative to the base. (Figure 1)

Regarding Claims 6, 7, 8, 18, 19, 20, 27, 28, 29 and 30, Vote et al. teach that the plastic is polyphenylene sulfide (PPS) and the metal is stainless steel.

Regarding Claims 2, 3, 9, 14, 15 and 21, the various recited limitations are inherent material properties of stainless steel and polyphenylene sulfide (PPS)

Regarding Claims 10, 12, 22 and 24 Vote et al. teach that the upper and lower portions may be attached with screws, adhesive or press fit (snap lock). See (Col. 4, Lines 1-3).

Regarding Claims 11 and 23, Vote et al. teach a slow curing epoxy (3M D460).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4, 5, 16, 17, and 25-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 6,068,548 to Vote et al.

Regarding Claims 4, 5, 16, 17 and 25, Vote et al. does not expressly teach the thickness of the plastic retaining ring is 100-400 mils, or that the upper portion is thicker than the lower portion.

However, it has been held that, where the only difference between the prior art and the claims was a recitation of relative dimensions of the claimed device and a device having the claimed relative dimensions would not perform differently than the prior art device, the claimed device was not patentably distinct from the prior art device. *In Gardner v. TEC Systems, Inc.*, 725 F.2d 1338, 220 USPQ 777 (Fed. Cir. 1984), cert. denied, 469 U.S. 830, 225 USPQ 232 (1984)

Application/Control Number: 09/848,830

Art Unit: 1763

Conclusion

Page 4

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth

in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from

the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date

of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH

shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action

is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX

MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Roberts Culbert whose telephone number is (571) 272-1433. The examiner can normally

be reached on Monday-Friday (8:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Parviz Hassanzadeh can be reached on (571) 272-1435. The fax phone number for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from

either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC)

at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

R. Culbert Examiner Art Unit 1763 Parviz Hassanzadeh

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1763